

INHOM Trust Organization, Parent of INHOMe Academy
A Private Trust Organization
A Private Contractual Religious Group
Operating pursuant to and in the nature of private international law

**RE: AFFIDAVIT OF KNOWING INTENT TO FREELY CONTRACT WITHOUT
MENTAL RESERVATIONS OR PURPOSE OF EVASION**

On the soil _____)
_____ [country]) ASSERVATION
_____ [state])

INHOM Trust, Parent of INHOMe Academy (hereafter referred to as “Association”) operates pursuant to “free will” and therefore connotes the freedom to contract unrestricted and unhampered by governmental interference. Association and its patrons and/or its assigns both recognize that “consensus facit jus”, consent makes law, or in other words your assent gives force to the law form to which you assent.

It is the intent of this document to positively communicate to the intended patron, or client, that the subsequent contract service hereby provided is to be conducted outside, separate and apart from any _____ (STATE OF X), or Federal, or International governmental structure, municipality, or subdivision therein, corporate or otherwise, commerce, and that Association is separate and apart from said structure which have virally infected most contract exchange activities. It is of most importance for the Patron, or Client, to understand that Association has no intention of contracting with those human beings who have, for whatever reasons, decided to contract, absent forethought, with those governmental/government franchised entities without knowing what they are involved with, or the differences therein. Therefore, by signing and subscribing to this document where commercial benefits are accepted, being outside of the governmental structure whereby rights of human beings are unalienable, it is recognized that all men are created equal by their Creator. This affidavit is drawn pursuant to the “Law of Nations”, which deal with the laws of obligations, and recognizes that no man, or entity, can place another into involuntary bondage/servitude. Patron, or Client, hereby covenants and contracts freely with Association.

Association will only accept payment in substance accompanied with whatever other acceptable marketplace current legal tender is available, as the common law requires that we give you a relief mechanism in lieu thereof. Substance is hereby defined as United States minted coins minted prior to Anno Domini 1933 in gold or silver. The word “Client” indicates a lack of competence in reference to the subscriber and may be incorrect, whereas the term Patron indicates competence and support. It is imperative that the patron understands that Association does not do business with the public. It is the intent of this affidavit to positively convey that information to the prospective patron and club member. Association does business privately and does not include the public in its contracts. Association, its overseers, it assigns, and club members acknowledge that each state and the United States, each body politics with respective governments, are under no legal obligation to provide police power protection or commercial benefits, such as Social Security.

Association, its overseers, its assigns, and club members repudiate any pledge of protection in return for personal allegiance namely, the citizenship contract that the US Supreme Court described I Luria v U.S., 231 US 9. This case along with 50 USC § 1520, wherein the Federal Government authorized chemical and biological experiments to be conducted on the populace, are evidence enough to demonstrate that there is no obligation to provide police power protection, and that the government has in fact become harmful to the public and its unalienable rights.

Any disputes between Association and its club members that cannot be resolved will be resolved by a binding arbitration by an independent arbitrator. The legal reasoning is simple: if the contract between Association and the club member/patron is enforced by the police power of the state, then the state becomes a silent third partner and may then regulate and tax that transaction. The penalty for invoking police power of the State with Association outside of binding arbitration is termination of club

membership and all rights therein.

It is important for the Patron to understand that there are essentially three (3) different groups of people regarding this subject matter:

- I. Those people who are aware that it is the *voluntary* acceptance of *FEDERAL/STATE* benefits that create a tax liability (insurance premium), and puts one in _____ (STATE OF X), that a Social Security number/account is not required, have notified the appropriate authorities to terminate benefits and have taken steps to function outside _____ (STATE OF X).
- II. There are those people who are aware that it is the *voluntary* acceptance of *FEDERAL/STATE* benefits that create a tax liability (insurance premium), and puts one in _____ (STATE OF X), that they do not need a Social Security number/account, but have elected, for whatever reason, to keep it, accept the benefits therein and stay in _____ (STATE OF X) [government] by this contract relationship.
- III. There are those people, the majority of the population, viz., the “masses”, who do not know about the contractual nature of Social Security and the *voluntary* acceptance of *FEDERAL/STATE* benefits, are not as knowledgeable as they could be regarding their natural rights, and accompanying obligations, in regards to their absolute freedom to contract, and therefore do not know, nor want to know for any number of reasons, to rid themselves of a Social Security number/account and their *voluntary* acceptance of *FEDERAL/STATE* benefits, and therefore continue to operate ,by contract election, with _____ (STATE OF X) [government].

Association is not interested in contracting, private or otherwise, with group III listed above. Starting as a number “III” does not preclude anyone from becoming a “II” through education, preferably private education not associated with the [public] _____ (STATE OF X) government schools.

Association is interested and will contract with those belonging to groups “I” and “II”, but only through Private Independent Contracts that remain separate and apart from _____ (STATE OF X), or Federal, or International government enfranchised entities, municipality(ies), or subdivisions, or officers, or agents therein and the Patron(s) signing this document agree willfully and knowingly herein. Association cannot guarantee that these private contracts will be respected by said _____ (STATE OF X) government institutions even though there is a protection for said contracts in their constitution(s).

Therefore, by default, the Patron, or Client, also understands that Association can choose **to** contract, or **not to** contract with groups “I” or “II” as Association deems appropriate. Also, the Client and Patron understand that this is a covenant relationship not arising under *causa debendi* (cause of debt).

That I affirm under penalty of perjury that this Affidavit is true and correct and done so in good faith as to comply with the Law to the very best of my knowledge; and,

FURTHER AFFIANT SAITH NOT.

Subscribed and affirmed this _____ day of _____, C.E.2021.

Patron’s Printed Name

Patron’s Signature

Patron’s Printed Name

Patron’s Signature

Two witnesses will suffice: *[CONTRACT IS NOT COMPLETE WITHOUT WITNESSES]*

_____ Print 1st Witness Name	_____ Print 2nd Witness Name
_____ Signature of 1st Witness	_____ Signature of 2nd Witness

INHOM TRUST ORGANIZATION (Parent of INHOMe Academy)

RE: DISCUSSION AS TO THE REASONS FOR AND THE USE OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF KNOWING INTENT TO FREELY CONTRACT WITHOUT MENTAL RESERVATIONS OR PURPOSE OF EVASION

It is a firm belief of those people referred to as the “Founding Fathers” of our American country that there existed certain Rights that were given to us by our creator “God.” There are those countries in existence even today that are dumbfounded as to why we as Americans hold those beliefs as Truths that are “Self evident”, in other words that need no explanation. Communist police officers have been quoted as saying that those crazy Americans believe in “God”, who is that? The Communist police officers, not necessarily representative of most of their people, have no basis in a belief system or philosophical foundation based upon freedom of the man or the individual. [Since the word man is gender neutral, I will continue to refer to Man to include females as well, Webster’s Dictionary 1856]. The American “experiment” was and is based upon free will of the man to do the right thing and not damage anyone nor breach a contract. All the criminal laws of this country, although now based upon Article 1 Section 8 Clause 3 (the Commerce Clause) and the emergency powers, originated in the Biblical laws of Torah (i.e. the first five books of the old testament that are encrypted [“The Signature of God” by Grant Jeffrey] and encoded from a power source far superior to our own). The Common Law system (one “law form” or “juris diction”) used in the United Kingdom (a.k.a. England) that was transplanted to the United States is still being used although somewhat modified by the Model Penal Code. Our American Tort and Contract laws are essentially the same. Any first-year law school student can verify this for you.

The problem becomes “Which system of law are we to follow?” Let’s be clear. This is not an attempt to subvert government in any way, nor do we seek to violate any laws. We just want to correctly and privately contract and protect ourselves and our families from those that do not understand and operate upon false presumptions.

Since our/the legislators have had a habit of attempting to overwrite and supersede our foundational law form that was Biblically based we are now presented with a problem. What law form are we to do business or conduct our affairs within? Certainly, every time we write a new contract or form a new agreement we are essentially “making law.” Whether we are biblically based or not is irrelevant in understanding that which preceded us. The original founders were Biblically based but the United Nations and their followers are essentially and by their own admission Luciferian worshippers of Circle of Life belief systems and correspond to that of many secret societies. Free will is the rule and not the exception.

The “Law of Nations” is predicated upon Biblical principles as demonstrated by the treatise known as “The Law of Nations or the Principles of Natural Law” by E. de Vattel, translated in 1758 and published by the Carnegie Institution of Washington in 1916.

What is a "Lawful money contract?" A Lawful money contract is a contract whereby the consideration, or payment, is substance and not a credit-based instrument. In layman’s terms paying in silver coin is different from giving a piece of paper or linen with numbers on it. Why? Example: Federal Reserve “Notes” (FRN) are the norm for paying for products and services today in America. The challenge is

that the payment may set the Law Form for you or at least alter it if done in someone else's "currency" (whatever is the "current" legal tender to purchase things). In the words of one law professor, "It's in the words man." He was correct. And, FRNs are based upon our "future labor" issued shortly after birth, see Law of Future Interest.

An example of the problem is the walk down main street USA when you see the Golden Arches at McDonalds (the restaurant) – effectively receiving the offer and solicitation to come in and buy lunch. You stroll in mumbling to yourself "I don't want to buy a hamburger, I don't want to buy a hamburger, I don't want to buy a hamburger". The clerk at the counter hears you mumble "hamburger" and places a hamburger on the counter. You place one Federal Reserve Note (a debt note, not substance) on the table thereby signifying acceptance and setting the contract of Offer, Acceptance and Consideration in motion, thus consummating the hamburger purchase. So, the intention to "Not Buy a hamburger" was

superseded by the **ACT** of purchasing a hamburger with someone else's debt note. Granted, the FRN is your "fungible property" or "stock" in circulation as "Citizens of the United States are stockholders in the United States of America." However, the ACT was different from your **WORDS**. This debt note is provided by an international trust called the Federal Reserve Bank that is owned and controlled by private parties abroad. However, the actual ACT was the purchase with someone else's "money" thereby "setting the Law Form" (i.e. jurisdiction) in the Corporate Municipal Law Form. By doing so a certain set of rules were set in motion to apply to that transfer action (i.e. transaction) and this could be disastrous if you were not purchasing hamburgers. For example, let us say that you decided to purchase land in the year 1932 in the American Midwest and you began saving up your bars of silver. Then before you purchased the land at the end of 1933 you traded in your silver to FRNs to make the transfer action simple. What you just did was change the transfer action from a **lawful money contract** at common law based upon biblical principals and Law of Nations to **tendering relief** under a different Law Form at Admiralty Law (a Law Form based upon debts and collections). Both will enable you to use the property but not both will actually "purchase" the property. The Lawful money payment will purchase at [Common] Law and the current Corporate Municipal Law Form based in Admiralty will only provide "colorable" title at Deed not At Law. Anything that is colorable acts like but is not – i.e. a "wannabe."

"Mixed and multiple jurisdictions" is a phrase used by some judges to indicate to a man litigating some issue that they have not "set the Law Form" so the judge must presume that they are operating under the same Law Form as the court and he will operate accordingly. This may not be the Law Form you intended, and it is not the court's job to teach you Law. The phrase "We can't give you legal advice" is done so on purpose to "keep you in the dark."

This document "AFFIDAVIT OF KNOWING" sets the Law Form and gives you Full Disclosure as to the intentions of the organization with whom you are dealing. It does not try to influence your governing authority.

Since the term government means "govern mentis" or "govern your mind" it reasons that those under whom you pledge loyalty to are "governing your mind" and are therefore telling you what to do. Our society is replete with so many examples of this they are too numerous to list.

By signing an "Affidavit of Knowing" the Signor sets his Law Form for which all future agreements with said organization will be based. No organization, regardless of roots, can interlope into and control those agreements thus committing a trespass upon those stated contractual rights. By signing an "Affidavit of Knowing" the Signer does not give up any rights or privileges provided by any other agreement in other areas of his life. It simply defines or makes finite how those agreements within said organization will be handled.

The Association INHOM TRUST ORGANIZATION operates pursuant to "free will" and therefore connotes the freedom to contract unrestricted and unhampered by governmental interference. The Association and its patrons and/or its assigns both recognize that "consensus facit jus", consent makes law, or in other words your assent gives force to the law form to which you assent.